

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/869,595	06/07/2002	Albrecht E. Sippel	WEICKM 14	5887		
23599	23599 7590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			GAMETT, I	GAMETT, DANIEL C		
SUITE 1400	INDOIN BE V D.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1647			

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/869,595	SIPPEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	1647		

	Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which							
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N							
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ly must be filed withir	າ one of the				
following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a							
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)				
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month	s after the mailing date of the final rejecti	on, even if timely filed, ma	ay reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	11	- #1 - d					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	be med within the time period oct is		/-				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	3):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	$oxed{igwedge}$ will not be entered, or b) $oxed{igwedge}$ v	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	ovided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,8,10,14-28,30-37,39-43,61-63,67-</u>	70.72.79 and 80.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-13, 29, 38, 44-	60,64-66,71 and 74-78.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	ne date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	ry and was not earlier presented.	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO 1440) Panel	· No(s)					
	. (1-10/00/00 01 F10-1449) Fapel		_				
13. Other: DAVID S. ROMEO							
•		DAVID S. ROM	MEO				

DAVID S. ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims 81 and 82 would be subject to rejection under USC 112(2) and 112(1).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are directed to amendmented claims that have not been entered..